


# Feedback on the ETAP 2.0

## European Training Assessment Programme



To be completed by the head of radiology training after the ETAP assessment upon receipt of the report from the EBR assessors

*Institution*

*Name of the head of the assessed radiology training programme*

*EBR Assessor(s)*

*Date of the interview*

### 1 Assessment forms

1.1. Was the platform easy to use?

1.2. Were the questions asked at the interview and the questionnaire adequate for your institutional setting?

Yes

No

1.3. If not, which questions were not pertinent to your institution?

1.4. Which additional questions / forms would you suggest?

1.5. Was the preparatory time for the questionnaire adequate?

*Just right*

*Too long*

*Too short*

### 2 Online Assessment

2.1. Overall, how would you rate the quality of the assessment?

*Excellent*

*Very good*

*Good*

*Satisfactory*

*Inadequate*

2.2. Was the online interview format adequate?

Yes

No


2.3. Was the assessment plan adequate?

Yes

No

# Feedback on the ETAP 2.0

## European Training Assessment Programme



To be completed by the head of radiology training after the ETAP assessment upon receipt of the report from the EBR assessors

### 3 Video

- |   |     |    |
|---|-----|----|
| 3.1. Was the format (video) to report the facilities adequate?    | Yes | No |
| 3.2. Were the instructions to prepare the video easy to follow?   | Yes | No |
| 3.3. Does a video help to give a reliable view of the department? | Yes | No |

*Other comments*

### 4 Report

4.1. Overall, how would you rate the quality of the report?

*Excellent*      *Very good*      *Good*      *Satisfactory*      *Inadequate*

*Other comments*

4.2. How would you rate the structure of the report?

*Excellent*      *Very good*      *Good*      *Satisfactory*      *Inadequate*

*Other comments*

4.3. How would you rate the content of the report?

*Excellent*      *Very good*      *Good*      *Satisfactory*      *Inadequate*

*Other comments*

4.4. What were the strengths of the report?

4.5. What were the weaknesses of the report?

# Feedback on the ETAP 2.0

## European Training Assessment Programme



To be completed by the head of radiology training after the ETAP assessment upon receipt of the report from the EBR assessors

### 5 Short-term effects and evaluation of the assessment

5.1. Are any changes planned following the assessment? Yes  No

If yes, which?

If not, why not?

5.2. Why did you decide to apply for an ETAP 2.0 assessment?

5.3. How would you briefly define the new ETAP 2.0 assessment system and your experience?

5.4. Would you recommend the ETAP 2.0 assessment to other institutions? Yes  No

If yes, why?

If not, why not?

*Other comments*

→ I hereby transfer the EBR the exploitation of copyright for the statements included in this feedback form and I authorize it to disclose data identifying my person and/or the center that I represent in order to acknowledge their authorship, previous acknowledgement to seek agreement by the EBR of the exact content to be disclosed.

**Thank you for your time and cooperation**